

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,647	0	03/23/2000	Curtis K Ohrt	M-8554 US	1572
33031	7590	04/21/2003			
		IENSON ASCO	EXAMINER		
4807 SPICEV BLDG. 4, SU		PRINGS RD.	NGUYEN, LE V		
AUSTIN, TX					<u> </u>
,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2174	α
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comme	09/534,647	OHRT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Le Nguyen	2174
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) N	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/5/0)3 .	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		natters prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wom oonoldordhom.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ed or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has	been received.
uttachment(s)	· ·	
. □ N. C	4) 🔲 Interview	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment B, filed 2/5/03.

2. Claims 1-32 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 9, 17 and 25 are independent

claims. This action is made Final.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gershman et al. ("Gershman", US # 6,199,099) in view of Torres (US # 6,424,360).

As per independent claim 1, Gershman teaches a method of providing an intelligent user interface to an on-line application (fig. 12; col. 31, lines 30-43; application is in the form of a profile wherein the profile is retrieved by a supplier in a web server and web browser environment connected through a network) comprising the steps of:

furnishing a plurality of menu selections on a web page displayed to a user of a web browser (fig. 23; on the lower left hand corner of the web page are menu selections, such as "Insurance Management" and "Financial Goals", displayed to a user as a Microsoft Internet Explorer application), wherein the menu selection is a hyperlink to a dynamically generated online application form set (fig. 23, menu selection "Insurance Management" allows the user to change his/her insurance policy on-line) wherein said web browser comprises Back and Forward

Art Unit: 2174

navigation functionalities (fig. 23, navigation functionalities "Back" and "Forward" is displayed);

displaying said dynamically generated application form set in response to the activation of the hyperlink, wherein the dynamically generated application form set comprises a state determined by at least one user input (col. 37, lines 6-9; selection of icon 2380 to change insurance policy requires additional user input).

Gershman's menu selection is in text form rather than icon. However, Torres discloses the use of either icon or text as a means of menu selection (col. 1, lines 56-58). Therefore, it would be obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include the use of Torres' icons in place of Gershman's text based menu selection because to the user it would be descriptive at a glance.

Although Gershman teaches the method of providing an intelligent user interface to an on-line application comprising the steps of using the Back and Forward navigation functionalities (fig. 23, navigation functionalities "Back" and "Forward" is displayed), Gershman does not explicitly describe maintaining the state upon the activation of another icon wherein maintaining it allows the use of the Back and Forward navigation functionalities without loss of the state. However, the use of the Back/Forward navigation functionalities without loss of the above mentioned state is inherent so that users may revisit a previously viewed page in its original state.

As per claim 2, which is dependent on 1, the user interface method wherein displaying the dynamically generated application form set comprises combining information from one or

Art Unit: 2174

more files to form said application form set (col. 28, lines 33-49; a pattern template file is stored as a dynamic array and is later used to generate a dynamically generated application form).

As per claims 3 and 4, which are dependent on claim 1, Gershman teaches the user interface method wherein the on-line application form set comprises data and queries (as described in the abstract, the on-line application form comprises data and queries based in part on user input) presented as part of a process for applying for a service comprising property or casualty insurance, life insurance, or health insurance (col. 36, lines 64-67; col. 37, lines 1-9; the user based query is part of a process of notifying the user of a change in his/her life insurance needs with the option of changing his/her life insurance policy).

As per claims 5 and 6, which are dependent on claim 1, Gershman teaches the user interface method wherein the icons menu selections are displayed along one or more inner or outer edges of a frame displayed within the web page (fig. 23, "Financial Planner" tab). Gershman does not teach that icons are depicted as tabs. However, the functionality of icons in this case would provide the same purpose as tabs in that it allows hyperlinking to a related page. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use either tabs or icons as a design choice.

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1, Gershman teaches the user interface method wherein the web page comprises quasi-static elements distinct from the dynamically generated on-line application form set, wherein displaying the dynamically generated on-line application form set in response to the activation of the hyperlink may affect the display of the quasi-static elements (col. 36, lines 64-67; col. 37, lines 1-9; updating the life insurance policy results in cessation of the dialogue "Life insurance needs have changed. The chart" by icon

Art Unit: 2174

2310; by selecting another hyperlink such as "Health Watch", the quasi-static elements will change according to the area clicked).

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Gershman teaches the user interface method wherein the plurality of icons displayed on the web page is determined in part by the user input (col. 2, lines 56-67; "Financial Planner icon/menu selection changes upon user selection of 2330).

Independent claims 9, 17 and 25 are individually similar in scope to claim 1, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 10, 18 and 26 are similar in scope to claim 2, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 11, 19 and 27 are similar in scope to claim 3, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 12, 20 and 28 are similar in scope to claim 4, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 13, 21 and 29 are similar in scope to claim 5, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 14, 22 and 30 are similar in scope to claim 6, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 15, 23 and 31 are similar in scope to claim 7, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 16, 24 and 32 are similar in scope to claim 8, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 6

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments filed 2/5/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argued the following:

- (a) Gershman does not teach that the menu selection is a dynamically generated on-line application form set or includes an icon that is a hyperlink to a dynamically generated on-line application form set; and
- (b) the Back and Forward navigational icons of Gersham do not provide its functionalities without loss of state.

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Gersham teaches a dynamically generated on-line application form set wherein users fill out a form on the screen in the data fields provided wherein a menu selection links users to another on-line application form page (figs. 21-23; col. 2, lines 60-61; col. 3, lines 1-2; col. 33, lines 34-41; col. 37, lines 6-9; menu selection "Insurance Management" displays a page that allows individual users to change his/her insurance policy on-line wherein users' input and an interface to enter the input is inherent for the process to be completed).

Furthermore, applicant accedes that there exists an instance that the Back and Forward navigation icons function without a loss of state. As long as there is an instance wherein there exists an instance when the Back and Forward navigation preserves the state, the functionality of Gersham's navigational icons still reads upon the claims.

Art Unit: 2174

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquires

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lê Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7601. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

- (703) 746-7238 [After Final Communication]
- (703) 746-7239 [Official Communication]
- (703) 746-7240 [For status inquiries, Draft Communication]

Page 7

Art Unit: 2174

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Lê Nguyen Patent Examiner April 11, 2003 Bristine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 8